

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Journal of Solid State Chemistry 178 (2005) 1778-1785

JOURNAL OF SOLID STATE CHEMISTRY

www.elsevier.com/locate/jssc

Electrical conductivity of $MOXO_4$ (M = V, Nb; X = P, As) compounds intercalated with H₂O and H₃XO₄

Vítězslav Zima^{a,*}, Ludvík Beneš^a, Klára Melánová^a, Mario Casciola^b, Artemio Cruccolini^b

^aJoint Laboratory of Solid State Chemistry of Institute of Macromolecular Chemistry Acad. Sci. Czech Republic and University of Pardubice, Studentská 84, 532 10 Pardubice, Czech Republic

^bDipartimento di Chimica, Centro di Eccellenza Materiali Innovativi Nanostrutturati, Università di Perugia, via Elce di Sotto 8, 06123 Perugia, Italy

Received 7 December 2004; received in revised form 8 March 2005; accepted 11 March 2005 Available online 18 April 2005

Abstract

Layered compounds with the general formula $MOXO_4 \cdot yH_2O$ (M = V, Nb; X = P, As) were prepared. The content of water y was controlled by keeping the samples in an atmosphere with various relative humidities (RH). Depending on RH, the formation of several hydrates of niobyl phosphate and arsenate was observed and their basal spacings (d) were determined, namely, NbOPO₄ · H₂O, d = 6.4 Å, at 11% RH and lower, NbOPO₄ · 2H₂O, d = 7.0 Å, at 22–33% RH, NbOPO₄ · 3H₂O, d = 7.8 Å, at 43–84% RH, and NbOPO₄ · 5H₂O, d = 8.0 Å, at 92% RH and above; NbOAsO₄ · H₂O, d = 6.2 Å, at 0–16% RH and NbOAsO₄ · 3H₂O, d = 7.9 Å at 33% RH and above. As follows from ac and dc conductivity data, NbOXO₄ · yH₂O compounds are practically pure protonic conductors, whereas VOXO₄ · yH₂O compounds are mixed protonic–electronic conductors and the protonic component increases with y. Two intercalates of $MOXO_4 \cdot yH_2O$ (y = 0.5-0.8) has the cell parameters a = 6.37 and c = 8.81-9.13 Å at 0–22% RH. Above 22% RH, the intercalate decomposes and the parent VOAsO₄ · yH₂O with H₃AsO₄ adsorbed on the surface is formed. Another intercalate with formula NbOPO₄ · H₃PO₄ · yH₂O (y = 2-4 at 0–75% RH) has the cell parameters a = 6.43 and c = 9.56-9.70 Å at RH from 0% to 5% and a = 6.48 and c = 11.2 Å at RH from 33% to 75%. Both intercalates are more conductive than their $MOXO_4 \cdot yH_2O$ hosts and their conductivity increases with increasing RH of the surrounding atmosphere. Like NbOPO₄ · yH₂O, also NbOPO₄ · H₃PO₄ · yH₂O can be considered pure proton conductor and its conductivity at 20 °C reaches 5 × 10⁻³ S cm⁻¹ for y = 4.

© 2005 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Intercalation

1. Introduction

Compounds with the general formula $MOXO_4$ (M = V, Nb; X = P, As) represent a group of layered materials which are able to accommodate (intercalate) neutral species into the interlayer space. The structure of $MOXO_4$ sheets with a tetragonal symmetry was described for VOPO₄ · 2D₂O [1]. It was confirmed that VOPO₄ · 2H₂O [2,3], VOAsO₄ · yH₂O [4], NbOPO₄ · yH₂O [5], and NbOAsO₄ · yH₂O [6] are isostructural.

*Corresponding author. Fax: +420466036151.

The layers of $MOXO_4$ are formed by MO_6 octahedra, which are connected through their equatorial oxygens to four different XO_4 tetrahedra. The oxygen atoms of the XO_4 groups are connected to four different MO_6 and in such a way the layered structure is formed. One of the MO_6 apical oxygen atoms is a vanadyl or niobyl oxygen atom. In the hydrates of $MOXO_4$, a coordinated oxygen atom from water molecules present in the interlayer space occupies the second apical coordination site. The coordination of water oxygen to vanadium or niobium causes an enlargement of the distance between the $(MOXO_4)_{\infty}$ layers thus forming cavities in which another molecules of water can reside.

E-mail address: vitezslav.zima@upce.cz (V. Zima).

^{0022-4596/\$ -} see front matter \odot 2005 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jssc.2005.03.016

In contrast to pentavalent niobium, vanadium(V) can easily be reduced to vanadium(IV) in the VOXO₄ compounds. The reduction causes the formation of a negative charge on the VOXO₄ layers which is compensated by a positive charge of species introduced into the interlayer space of VOXO₄ during the redox reaction. This phenomenon was utilized to intercalate cations of alkali metals, ammonium, hydronium, and some divalent metals [7]. Lithium-intercalated VOPO₄ was also studied from the point of view of its potential applications in batteries [8]. Generally, it was found that intercalations of cations of alkali metals (Li [9], Na [10], K [11], and Rb [12]) or hydronium [11] into VOPO₄ · 2H₂O cause a decrease of conductivity with increasing amount of the intercalated cation.

Compounds containing intercalated phosphoric acid, of general formula $MOPO_4 \cdot xH_3PO_4 \cdot yH_2O$ (M = V, Nb), were also prepared [13,14]. H₃PO₄-intercalated VOPO₄ [13] is very unstable and releases H_3PO_4 even at ambient humidity. Chernorukov [14] described preparation and characterization of NbOPO₄ \cdot 2H₃PO₄ \cdot 5H₂O, which is stable at ambient conditions and releases water by heating. The lattice parameters (a = 6.47 A,c = 11.20 A) indicate that the compound retains the layered structure of NbOPO₄ (a = 6.41 A, c = 8.04 A for pentahydrate) with enlarged interlayer distance. In aqueous solutions, this compound releases phosphoric acid and transforms to NbOPO₄ · 3H₂O. As Beneke and Lagaly [13] pointed out, the washing procedure therefore affects the composition of the product. In spite of the massive work dealing with structural aspects and intercalation behavior of $MOXO_4 \cdot yH_2O$ compounds, only little information is available on their electrical conductivity. Niobyl phosphate hydrate, NbOPO₄ $\cdot yH_2O$ (y < 3), is claimed to be a pure protonic conductor [15] with conductivity of 10^{-6} S cm⁻¹ at room temperature. Nevertheless, it is not clear from the paper what hydrate was used for the conductivity measurements. The same authors report higher conductivity ($\sigma = 1.5 \times 10^{-5} \,\mathrm{S \, cm^{-1}}$ at room temperature) for a compound with the formula $K_{0.5}NbO$ $PO_4 \cdot H_3 PO_4 \cdot 1.7 H_2 O$ having the structure of the layers closely related to that of niobyl phosphate. The increased conductivity is caused by an increased concentration of H_3O^+ in the interlayer space of the compound.

While anhydrous VOPO₄ is an extrinsic *n*-type semiconductor [16], we found that VOPO₄ \cdot 2H₂O is a mixed protonic–electronic conductor, with dominant protonic component at room temperature and 58% relative humidity (RH) [17]. The influence of intercalated water on conductivity was investigated by ac/dc measurements in the range 40–200 °C where progressive dehydration ends up with the formation of anhydrous VOPO₄. It was shown that VOPO₄ \cdot H₂O is a pure electronic conductor and that its electronic conductivity

is strongly enhanced by the water co-ordinated to vanadium atom. A similar effect was also found for $Rb_xVOPO_4 \cdot yH_2O$ compounds with x = 0.18 and 0.28 [12].

Taking into account the importance of hydration on both protonic and electronic conductivity, the lack of information about the dependence of conductivity on hydration at room temperature for vanadium and niobium phosphates, as well as the complete lack of conductivity data for vanadium and niobium arsenates. it was of interest to elucidate the influence of the central atom M, of the anionic group XO_4 and of the water content on the conductivity of isostructural layered compounds with general formula $MOXO_4 \cdot yH_2O$. To this aim the influence of RH of the surrounding atmosphere on hydration and basal spacing was studied, while combined ac/dc-conductivity measurements at controlled RH were carried out to estimate protonic and electronic conductivity under conditions with different RH. In addition to this group of compounds, two of their intercalates with mineral acids, namely an intercalate of VOAsO4 with H3AsO4 and an intercalate of NbOPO₄ with H₃PO₄, were also investigated.

2. Experimental section

Generally, the hydrates of $MOXO_4$ were prepared by refluxing M_2O_5 in an aqueous solution of H_3XO_4 . In the case of M = Nb, addition of hydrofluoric acid was necessary to facilitate the solubilization of Nb_2O_5 in the reaction mixture. Thus, $VOPO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$ [2], $VOAsO_4 \cdot 3H_2O$ [4], $NbOPO_4 \cdot 3H_2O$ [5,18], and $NbOAsO_4 \cdot 4H_2O$ [6] were prepared according to this method as described in the literature.

Arsenic acid-intercalated vanadyl arsenate hydrate was prepared in the same way as $VOAsO_4 \cdot 3H_2O$ [4]. As distinct from $VOAsO_4 \cdot 3H_2O$, the yellow solid separated from the reaction mixture by filtration was washed with a small amount of cold water and dried in air.

Phosphoric acid-intercalated NbOPO₄ was prepared by a modified Chernorukov's method [14]. Instead of metal niobium, 4 g of niobium pentoxide was dissolved in 12 mL of 40 wt% hydrofluoric acid while heating in a water bath. To the solution, 20 mL of 85 wt% phosphoric acid was added and refluxed until a white solid precipitated. The precipitate was separated by filtration, washed with ethanol and dried over P_4O_{10} in vacuum.

Before measurements at desired RH of 11% and above, the samples were kept in closed vessels over saturated solutions of inorganic salts for several weeks [19]. For measurements at 5% RH, 69.4 wt% sulfuric acid solution was used; for measurements at 0% RH, the samples were kept over P_4O_{10} in a desiccator.

The TG analyses were performed using a Netzsch STA 449C apparatus in air between 20 and 600 $^{\circ}$ C at a

heating rate of 5 °C min⁻¹. Powder data were obtained with a D8-Advance diffractometer (Bruker AXE, Germany) using Cu- K_{α} with a secondary graphite monochromator. Diffraction angles were measured from 7° to 80° (2 θ). The temperature measurements from 20 to 250 °C were carried out on a heated corundum plate with a thermocouple [20].

Particular attention was paid to the thermogravimetry analysis of NbOPO₄ · H₃PO₄ · yH₂O. Expected weight loss during heating comprises two reactions. The first is a removal of interlayer water, the second is a decomposition of NbOPO₄ · H₃PO₄ to pyrophosphate. It is difficult to distinguish between these steps in the thermogravimetry curve of NbOPO₄ · H₃PO₄ · yH₂O, nevertheless, the amount of water y can be calculated from the total weight loss determined at 800 °C according to the following equations:

$$NbOPO_4 \cdot H_3PO_4 \cdot yH_2O \rightarrow NbOPO_4 \cdot H_3PO_4 + yH_2O,$$
(1)

$$2NbOPO_4 \cdot H_3PO_4 \rightarrow Nb_2O(P_2O_7)_2 + 3H_2O.$$
(2)

All conductivity measurements were carried out on pellets, 10 mm in diameter and 1-1.5 mm thick, which were prepared by pressing $\approx 200 \,\mathrm{mg}$ of material at $40 \,\mathrm{kN \, cm^{-2}}$. The two flat surfaces of the pellet were coated by electrodes made of a pressed graphite powder (Carlo Erba, average particle size 5 µm). In order to have good adhesion between pellet and electrodes, the graphite powder was mixed and ground with the sample material in the mass ratio 1:3. Impedance measurements were carried out in the frequency range 10 Hz–1 MHz, at a signal level $\leq 100 \,\text{mV}$, by a Potentiostat PGSTAT30 Autolab/Eco Chemie equipped with a frequency response analyzer module. Measurements at 20 °C were carried out at controlled RH in a stainless-steel sealedoff cell containing in the lower part a saturated salt solution for RH in the range 11-90%, or a 69.4 wt% sulfuric acid solution for RH = 5%, or P_4O_{10} for RH = 0%. Dc-conductivity was determined by an Amel 554 potentiostat with a dc-load of 0.1 V.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. $MOXO_4 \cdot yH_2O$

In previous papers it was shown that water present in the interlayer region of VOPO₄ and of its rubidium intercalation compounds affects both ionic and electronic conductivity [12,17]. Therefore, before carrying out conductivity measurements, it was of interest to study the hydrated phases formed by $MOXO_4$ compounds in the RH range from 0% to 100%. In all cases, the water content of a sample equilibrated at a certain RH turned out to be independent of the sample hydration before equilibration. In contrast to vanadyl arsenate hydrates described in the previous paper [21], the water content of vanadyl phosphate remains the same, y = 2, at all RH values. For niobyl arsenate, only two hydrates were observed (Fig. 1): monohydrate (NbOAsO₄ \cdot H₂O, basal spacing d = 6.2 Å) at 0–16% RH and trihydrate (NbOAsO₄ · 3H₂O, d = 7.9 Å) above 33% RH. A more complex system was found for niobyl phosphate (Fig. 1) which forms four different hydrates in the whole range of RH: NbOPO₄ · H₂O (d = 6.4 Å), stable at RH below 20%, changes to NbOPO₄ · 2H₂O (d = 7.0 A) at 22–33% RH and then to NbOPO₄·3H₂O (d = 7.8 A) at 43–84% RH. Finally, NbOPO₄ · 5H₂O (d = 8.0 A) is formed above 92% RH. Hydration data for the four $MOXO_4 \cdot yH_2O$ compounds are summarized in Table 1. It was found that the hydration is reversible. The formation of a particular hydrate depends only on used RH and it is not influenced by the initial degree of its hydration before the storage at particular RH.

The conductivity of $MOXO_4 \cdot yH_2O$ was determined by the impedance technique at 20 °C in the RH range 0–97% with graphite electrodes. Typical impedance plots (Fig. 2) consist of a depressed semicircle pointing at the origin of the complex plane at the high-frequency side and ending with a low-frequency tail associated with the impedance of the electrode–electrolyte interface. The semicircle represents the electrolyte impedance and its extrapolation to the real axis, on the lowfrequency side, was used to calculate the so-called acconductivity (σ_{ac}). For a mixed protonic–electronic conductor, σ_{ac} is the sum of protonic (σ_p) and electronic (σ_e) conductivities. The dependence of σ_{ac} on RH for the



Fig. 1. Basal spacing d of NbOPO₄·yH₂O (squares) and NbOAsO₄·yH₂O (circles) as a function of relative humidity (RH). Numbers in the figure denote content of water y.

Table 1 Interlayer distance (d) and water content (y) of $MOXO_4 \cdot yH_2O$ compounds

М	X	d (Å)	Y	RH range (%)
V	Р	7.41	2	0-100
V ^a	As	4.18	0	0
		7.33	2	11-43
		8.03	3	43-76
		10.48	5	76–100
Nb	Р	6.4	1	0–20
		7.0	2	20-33
		7.8	3	43-84
		8.0	5	92-100
Nb	As	6.2	1	0-16
		7.9	3	33-100

^adata taken from [20].



Fig. 2. Impedance plot for NbOPO₄ · 3H₂O at 20 °C and 53% RH.

four $MOXO_4$ compounds is shown in Fig. 3. As a general trend, σ_{ac} rises with RH going from a minimum of $\approx 10^{-9} \,\mathrm{S \, cm^{-1}}$ for NbOAsO₄ · H₂O to a maximum of $3 \times 10^{-5} \,\mathrm{S \, cm^{-1}}$ for VOAsO₄ · 5H₂O. At RH close to 0%, the conductivity of VOXO₄ is higher than the conductivity of the corresponding NbOXO₄ by more than an order of magnitude, but this difference decreases with increasing RH so that, around 100% RH, σ_{ac} lies in the range $1-3 \times 10^{-5} \,\mathrm{S \, cm^{-1}}$ for all four compounds.

Proton transport in hydrated materials is generally water assisted and an increasing hydration results in an



Fig. 3. Ac-conductivity (σ_{ac}) of $MOXO_4 \cdot yH_2O$ compounds as a function of RH at 20 °C.

increasing conductivity. Therefore, the dependence of σ_{ac} on RH can be ascribed to some extent to changes of bulk and/or surface hydration. However, the fact that at low RH VOAsO₄ is significantly more conductive than NbOAsO₄ cannot simply be attributed to its hydration: at 0% RH, VOAsO₄ is anhydrous and cannot conduct protons. This leads to the conclusion that differences in σ_{ac} must be related to some extent to different contributions of electronic and protonic conductivity in σ_{ac} .

According to Ref. [22] and our previous works [12,17], the electronic conductivity can be estimated by passing a dc-current by means of proton blocking electrodes, such as graphite electrodes in the absence of hydrogen. At the steady state, the dc-conductivity is only due to electronic carriers ($\sigma_{dc} = \sigma_e$), while the acconductivity is still the sum of both protonic and electronic components ($\sigma_{ac} = \sigma_e + \sigma_p$). The ratio σ_{dc}/σ_{ac} gives therefore the contribution of the electronic component. As mentioned in the Introduction, it was already shown that $VOPO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$ is an electronic-protonic mixed conductor even at RH as low as 5% [12]. Combined ac/dc-measurements were carried out on hydrates of VOAsO4 and NbOXO4 at 5% and 53% RH. The values of the σ_{dc}/σ_{ac} ratio reported in Table 2 show that while the hydrates of VOAsO4 and VOPO4 are mixed electronic-protonic conductors, the hydrates

Table 2 Ratio of steady state dc- and ac-conductivities (σ_{dc}/σ_{ac}) for MOX $O_4 \cdot yH_2O$ compounds at 5% and 53% relative humidities

X	RH (%)	$\sigma_{ m dc/}\sigma_{ m ac}$	
		M = V	M = Nb
Р	5 53	$\approx 0.54^{\rm a}$ $0.11^{\rm b}$	0.016 0.004
As	5 53	0.63 0.07	0.001 0.001

^aEstimated from [12].

^bFrom [16].

of NbOAsO₄ and NbOPO₄ can be considered with a good approximation as pure proton conductors, the contribution of σ_e being in all cases lower than 2% of σ_{ac} even at 5% RH. It is also evident that for VOXO₄·yH₂O the contribution weight of σ_e decreases with increasing RH and therefore with the material hydration. Consequently, the increase of σ_{ac} with RH is mainly due to the concomitant increase of σ_p . In the specific case of vanadyl phosphate, σ_p changes must be ascribed to changes in surface hydration, since the content of bulk water is independent of RH.

3.2. $MOXO_4 \cdot xH_3XO_4 \cdot yH_2O$

As follows from the diffraction patterns of VOAsO₄ · 0.5H₃AsO₄ · yH₂O taken at RH from 0% to 22%, the cell parameter a = 6.37 Å is the same for all these RH and is identical with that of the parent VOAsO₄ · yH₂O allowing us to presume that the structure of the layers of vanadyl arsenate is retained in VOAsO₄ · 0.5H₃AsO₄ · yH₂O.

The content of water y in VOAsO₄ \cdot 0.5H₃AsO₄ \cdot yH_2O kept at 0% RH is very low (y < 0.5) and remains practically the same also for the sample kept at 11% RH (Fig. 4). With an increase of RH to 22%, y increases to 0.8. This change of y, when going from 0% to 22% RH, is accompanied by only a small increase of the basal spacing d. This behavior can be explained by a formation of a pillared structure. At low RH, the H₃AsO₄ molecules form pillars in the interlayer space of $VOAsO_4 \cdot 0.5H_3AsO_4 \cdot yH_2O$ with empty cavities between them. With increasing RH of the surrounding atmosphere, these cavities are gradually filled with water molecules without a change of the basal spacing. With a further increase of RH to 33%, a rapid increase of y to 2 is observed whereas the basal spacing decreases to a value corresponding to $VOAsO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$. It indicates that the H₃AsO₄ molecules in the interlayer space are replaced by water molecules and free H₃AsO₄ is then adsorbed on the surface of the crystallites. The presence of H₃AsO₄ in the sample kept at 33% RH was



Fig. 4. Basal spacing *d* (circles) and content of water *y* (diamonds) of $VOAsO_4 \cdot 0.5H_3AsO_4 \cdot yH_2O$ as a function of RH.



Fig. 5. Diffraction patterns of NbOPO₄ \cdot H₃PO₄ \cdot yH₂O at various RH.

confirmed by a thermogravimetric measurement, where a weight decrease corresponding to the release of water (y = 2) is followed by a weight decrease due to a decomposition of H₃AsO₄.

Following the method described by Chernorukov [14] for the preparation of NbOPO₄ · 2H₃PO₄ · 5H₂O, we were able to prepare a compound with P/Nb ratio of 2 instead of P/Nb = 3. That is, the formula of the compound we prepared can be written as NbO PO₄ · H₃PO₄ · yH₂O. As it is obvious from the diffractograms of this compound, taken at various RH (Fig. 5), two different phases with different basal spacings are formed. At low RH (0–5%) the basal spacing is around 9.6 Å (Fig. 6). An increase of RH causes a formation of a new phase. The low-humidity phase disappears in the sample kept at 22% RH and the basal spacing d =11.2 Å of the high-humidity phase remains the same for RH > 22%. The broadening of the (001) lines at 11% RH is associated with crystal disordering occurring



Fig. 6. Basal spacing *d* (circles) and content of water *y* (diamonds) of NbOPO₄ \cdot H₃PO₄ \cdot *y*H₂O as a function of RH.

Table 3 The lattice parameters of the NbOPO₄ \cdot H₃PO₄ \cdot yH₂O samples

RH (%)	$a (\text{\AA})^{a}$	<i>c</i> (Å)
0	6.425	9.56
5	6.43	9.70
33	6.477(1)	11.215(2)
58	6.476(2)	11.203(4)
Heated to 70 °C	6.435(4)	9.096(3)

^aThe difference in precision of the values of the cell parameters is given by a different quality of the diffraction patterns. For the samples at 0% and 5% RH, the *a* parameter was calculated as an average from the (110) and (200) reflections, the *c* parameter is given by the (001) reflection. For the samples at 33% and 58% RH and for the sample heated to 70 °C, the *a* and *c* cell parameters were calculated from all observed diffraction peaks.

during the phase transition. Distinct (110) and (200) reflections observable in the diffractograms of both phases confirm that the tetragonal $(NbOPO_4)_{\infty}$ layers are preserved. The lattice parameters of the tetragonal cell are given in Table 3. In contrast to the basal spacing, the content of water *y* increases in the whole range of RH from slightly less than 2–4 for RH = 0% to 75%.

The complete loss of interlayer water leads to a phase with d = 9.1 Å. Diffractograms collected as a function of temperature showed that the more hydrated the starting sample, the easier the formation of dehydrated NbOPO₄ · H₃PO₄. In particular, while for y = 4 the basal spacing decreases from 11.2 to 9.1 Å in the range from room temperature to 50 °C, for y = 2 the basal spacing approaches 9Å at temperatures as high as 200 °C. At temperatures higher than 200 °C, a decomposition of interlayer H₃PO₄ begins and finally Nb₂O $(P_2O_7)_2$ is formed as confirmed by powder XRD (PDF No. 28-0715 [23]). The cell parameters of a dehydrated compound, prepared by heating the 75%-RH sample to 70 °C for 3 h (Table 3), indicate that the structure of the NbOPO₄ layers is retained in the H₃PO₄ intercalate too. Fig. 7 shows the ac-conductivity, at 20 °C, of

 $VOAsO_4 \cdot 0.5H_3AsO_4 \cdot yH_2O$ and $NbOPO_4 \cdot H_3PO_4 \cdot O_4$ yH_2O as a function of RH. In the RH range 0–22% the H₃PO₄ intercalate is 10–100 times more conductive than the H₃AsO₄ intercalate. This seems to be a consequence of the higher concentration of the intercalated acid and of the larger hydration of the interlayer region. However, when RH is increased to 33%, the conductivity of the H₃AsO₄ intercalate becomes higher than that of the H₃PO₄ intercalate. This is concomitant with the deintercalation of H₃AsO₄, which is retained as free hydrated acid on the surface of the vanadyl arsenate microcrystals and makes the intergrain region highly conductive. On the other hand, the less steep dependence on RH observed for the conductivity of NbO $PO_4 \cdot H_3 PO_4 \cdot yH_2 O$ reflects the gradual increase of hydration of interlayer H_3PO_4 (y from 1.7 to 4). As expected, combined ac/dc measurements gave in all cases a $\sigma_{\rm dc}/\sigma_{\rm ac}$ ratio $\leq 2 \times 10^{-4}$, thus indicating that the H₃PO₄ intercalate can be considered as a pure protonic conductor.

The ac-conductivity of NbOPO₄ · $3H_2O$ and that of NbOPO₄ · H_3PO_4 · yH_2O pellets, with y = 1.8, 2.2, 2.8 and 4.0, was also determined in the temperature range 20–95 °C. Plots of $\log(\sigma_T)$ vs. 1/T are shown in Fig. 8. All conductivity data were parameterized by using the Arrhenius equation ($\sigma_T = \sigma_0 \exp(-E_a/RT)$). E_a and σ_0 values are listed in Table 4. Let us discuss the conductivity changes determined by intercalation of H_3PO_4 and by hydration of NbOPO₄ · H_3PO_4 in terms of variation of E_a and σ_0 . According to the hopping model [24], σ_0 depends on the carrier concentration C,



Fig. 7. Ac-conductivity (σ_{ac}) of VOAsO₄ · 0.5H₃AsO₄ · yH₂O and NbOPO₄ · H₃PO₄ · yH₂O as a function of RH at 20 °C.



Fig. 8. Arrhenius' plots for the indicated $NbOPO_4 \cdot H_3PO_4 \cdot yH_2O$ compounds.

Table 4 Parameters of the Arrhenius equation (activation energy, E_a , and preexponential factor, σ_0) for NbOPO₄ · xH₃PO₄ · yH₂O compounds

x	у	$E_{\rm a} (\mathrm{J} \mathrm{mol}^{-1})$	$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_0 \; (\mathrm{S} \mathrm{cm}^{-1} \mathrm{K})$	
0	3.0	32.84	2.72	
1	1.8	39.62	5.22	
1	2.2	23.81	3.31	
1	2.8	22.80	3.29	
1	4.0	19.50	3.47	

the activation entropy ΔS and the hopping frequency v_0 between sites separated by a distance *d*:

$s_0 = (z^2 F^2/R) \, \alpha v_0 d^2 C \, \exp(\Delta S/R),$

where z, F and R have the usual meaning, and α is the reciprocal of the number of all possible hop directions. Table 4 shows that for NbOPO₄ \cdot H₃PO₄ \cdot yH₂O both E_a and σ_0 decrease with increasing y from 1.8 to 2.8, so that the corresponding conductivity enhancement is only determined by the decrease of E_a . The simultaneous decrease of E_a and σ_0 is not surprising because, according to the so-called compensation law [25], $E_{\rm a}$ and ΔS are expected to change in the same direction. On the other hand, the higher conductivity of NbO $PO_4 \cdot H_3PO_4 \cdot 2.8H_2O$ and $NbOPO_4 \cdot H_3PO_4 \cdot 4H_2O$ in comparison with that of NbOPO₄·3H₂O and NbO $PO_4 \cdot H_3 PO_4 \cdot 2.8 H_2 O_1$, respectively, is determined by both a lower E_a and a higher σ_0 . Although the present data do not allow one to identify for certain the factor(s) responsible for these changes, the increase of σ_0 upon H_3PO_4 intercalation is reasonably due to an increase of the effective carrier concentration of protonic carriers.

4. Conclusion

This work compares, for the first time, the conductivity of isostructural compounds with general formula $MOXO_4$ (M = V, Nb; X = P, As). The influence of the central atom M, of the anionic group XO_4 and of the water content on the electrical properties was elucidated and the conclusions suggested in this work could be used also for an assessment of conductivity behavior for other similar phosphates and arsenates of transition metals. The vanadyl compounds are mixed protonicelectronic conductors, whereas niobyl compounds are practically pure protonic conductors. As a consequence of the increasing contribution of protonic conductivity with increasing hydration, all MOXO₄ · yH₂O compounds have similar ac-conductivity at high RH. On the other hand, owing to the contribution of electronic conductivity, the VOXO₄ \cdot yH₂O compounds are more conductive than NbO $XO_4 \cdot yH_2O$ at low RH.

The intercalates of NbOPO₄ and VOAsO₄ with H₃PO₄ and H₃AsO₄, respectively, were also prepared and investigated. $VOAsO_4 \cdot 0.5H_3AsO_4 \cdot yH_2O$ is a new compound, while NbOPO₄ \cdot H₃PO₄ \cdot yH₂O differs from that described by Chernorukov [14] in the amount of intercalated H₃PO₄ and can be considered as a new compound too. NbOPO₄ \cdot H₃PO₄ \cdot yH₂O is stable in the RH range from 0% to 75% and due to the presence of interlayer H₃PO₄, is more conductive than NbO $PO_4 \cdot yH_2O$ by two orders of magnitude over the whole RH range. The room temperature conductivity approaches 10⁻⁴ S cm⁻¹ at RH as low as 5% and is high enough to envisage the use of this material as a solid proton electrolyte for hydrogen or oxygen sensors working in a dry environment as recently shown for the composite SiO_2 -Zr(HPO₄)₂-H₃PO₄ [26].

Acknowledgments

This work was carried out in the framework of the Agreement for scientific cooperation between the National Research Council of Italy and the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic.

References

- M. Tachez, F. Theobald, J. Bernard, A.W. Hewat, Rev. Chim. Miner. 19 (1982) 291–300.
- [2] G.Z. Ladwig, Anorg. Allg. Chem. 338 (1965) 266-278.
- [3] E. Bordes, P. Courtine, G. Pannetier, Ann. Chim. (Paris) 8 (1973) 105–113.
- [4] N.G. Chernorukov, N.P. Egorov, I.A. Korshunov, Zh. Neorg. Khim. 23 (1978) 2672–2675.
- [5] N.G. Chernorukov, N.P. Egorov, I.R. Mochalova, Zh. Neorg. Khim. 23 (1978) 2931–2934.
- [6] N.G. Chernorukov, N.P. Egorov, I.A. Korshunov, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Neorg. Mater. 15 (1979) 335–338.

- [7] A.J. Jacobson, J.W. Johnson, J.F. Brody, J.C. Scanlon, J.T. Lewandowski, Inorg. Chem. 24 (1985) 1782–1787.
- [8] N. Dupré, J. Gaubicher, T. Le Mercier, G. Wallez, J. Angenault, M. Quarton, Solid State Ionics 140 (2001) 209–221.
- [9] R. Šišková, L. Beneš, V. Zima, M. Vlček, J. Votinský, J. Kalousová, Polyhedron 12 (1993) 181–185.
- [10] V. Zima, L. Beneš, R. Šišková, P. Fatěna, J. Votinský, Solid State Ionics 67 (1994) 277–280.
- [11] V. Zima, L. Beneš, J. Votinský, J. Kalousová, Solid State Ionics 82 (1995) 33–38.
- [12] V. Zima, M. Kilián, M. Casciola, L. Massinelli, Chem. Mater. 11 (1999) 3258–3262.
- [13] K. Beneke, G. Lagaly, Inorg. Chem. 22 (1983) 1503-1507.
- [14] N.G. Chernorukov, N.P. Egorov, V.F. Kutsepin, Zh. Neorg. Khim. 24 (1979) 1782–1785.
- [15] M. Cantero, L. Moreno Real, S. Bruque, M. Martinez Lara, J. R Ramos Barrado, Solid State Ionics 51 (1992) 273–279.
- [16] F. Rouvet, J.M. Herrmann, J.C. Volta, J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 90 (1994) 1441–1448.

- [17] V. Zima, M. Vlček, L. Beneš, M. Casciola, L. Massinelli, R. Palombari, Chem. Mater. 8 (1996) 2505–2509.
- [18] S. Bruque, M. Martinez-Lara, L. Moreno-Real, A. Jimenez-Lopez, B. Casal, E. Ruiz-Hitzky, Inorg. Chem. 26 (1987) 847–850.
- [19] R.H. Stokes, R.A. Robinson, Ind. Eng. Chem. 4 (1949) 2013.
- [20] L. Beneš, Sci. Pap. Univ. Pardubice Ser. A 2 (1996) 151-155.
- [21] L. Beneš, K. Melánová, V. Zima, M. Trchová, E. Uhlířová, P. Matějka, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. (2000) 895–900.
- [22] E. Skou, I.G. Krogh Andersen, E. Krogh Andersen, in: Ph. Colomban (Ed.), Proton Conductors, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992 (Chapter 27).
- [23] Joint Committee on Powder Diffraction Standards, International Centre of Diffraction Data, Swarthmore, PA.
- [24] R.A. Huggins, in: A.S. Nowick, J.J. Burton (Eds.), Diffusion in Solids, Academic Press, New York, 1975 (Chapter 9).
- [25] N.F. Uvarov, E.F. Hairetdinov, J. Solid State Chem. 62 (1986) 1–10.
- [26] P. Armento, M. Casciola, M. Pica, F. Marmottini, R. Palombari, F. Ziarelli, Solid State Ionics 166 (2004) 19–25.